


BODS Issue Log

Process

- Weekly Update Cycle



Examples

| | |
|-----|---------------------|
| I | Issue |
| Q | Question |
| RFC | Request for change |
| C | Comment/observation |

| Number | Type | Date Published | Title | Description | Submitted by | Impacts (Issues only) | Updates |
|--------|------|----------------|-------------------------------------|---|--------------|-----------------------|---|
| P1 | I | 16/6/2020 | All files being expired incorrectly | BODS expires all files in a zip or set of data when one of the services has an end date in it that moves into the past. The BODS workaround now in place is not acceptable because it involves manual intervention at 05:00 up to 7 days a week | OpDI | All data publishers | 22/06/2020 - BODS has called a meeting to resolve this. It will be on 8 July and include, ITO, Kpmg, DfT, Operators and TIL |
| P2 | Q | 16/6/2020 | End dates | Why does BODS not permit end dates, which are common practice in TXC files and already exist and are consumed by open data users in TNDS? | TIL | | 22/06/2020 - BODS has called a meeting to resolve this. It will be on 8 July and include, ITO, Kpmg, DfT, Operators and TIL |
| P3 | RFC | 16/6/2020 | Duplicated services | I would like to know whether the services I am publishing in a data set are duplicated by another dataset (e.g. LA 'helping' by publishing operator services – I know this is against the guidance but already had a few queries on this; alternatively where two operations which run a joint service) | Go Ahead | | |

- If your organisation agrees with an item that another has submitted, feel free to let us know and we can record it.
 - This could help to indicate how important the item is.
- If your organisation has had an update from the BODS team on any of the items in this document that they're able to share let us know
- Welcome comments about where BODS is working well